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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce a novel framework for lossless/near-lossless (LS/NLS) color image coding assisted by an inverse demosaicking. Conventional frameworks are typically based on prediction (and quantization for NLS coding) followed by entropy coding, such as the JPEG-LS for bit rate saving. The approach of this work is totally different from the conventional ones. Basically, color images are created by demosaicing Bayer-pattern color filter array (CFA) whose operator can be expressed as square matrices. By using the (pseudo) inverse matrix of a joint demosaicing and color-to-gray conversion, the proposed decoder can recover the color image from its corresponding gray image data which is losslessly transmitted by the proposed encoder. Thus, LS/NLS color image reconstruction can be achieved while saving a bit rate significantly. In addition, by the proposed encoder, LS/NLS color image reconstruction can be realized by a comparable bit rate with JPEG-LS.

Index Terms—lossless/near-lossless color image coding, demosaicking, inverse problem

1. INTRODUCTION

Lossless (LS) color image coding has been important for high quality image transmission, medical image compression, and so on. As well as LS image coding, near-lossless (NLS) color which allows low bit rate coding (e.g., ±1 or ±2) at each pixel is also important. A typical LS/NLS image coder is JPEG-LS [1], where spatial prediction (and residual quantization for NLS coding) followed by entropy coding is performed. Until now, some related methods of JPEG-LS based LS/NLS color image coding have been proposed [2–4].

This paper proposes a novel framework for LS/NLS color image coding that utilizes an image demosaicking algorithm for Bayer-pattern color filter array (CFA) [5, 6]. Needless to say, most of recent digital cameras firstly capture natural images via a CCD/CMOS sensor with a color filter, and then store it in the CFA format. Then, full color image is produced by demosaicking operations. Mathematically speaking, this procedure can be characterized as a corresponding matrix multiplication to CFA. This paper clarifies that, if the demosaicking algorithm is known, the original color image can be recovered from its corresponding gray image by its inverse demosaicking procedure. Specifically, we formulate demosaicking and color-to-gray operations as matrix multiplications and utilize its inverse (or pseudo-inverse) matrix to recover the CFA raw data from the transmitted lossless gray image. Then performing demosaicking followed by an offset compensation, we can recover the color image at decoder side. This experimental results show the proposed image coding can save a bit rate significantly while hiding color information.

In addition, the original CFA raw data can be transmitted by using the same framework of color image transmission with comparable bit rate with the JPEG-LS.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Demosaicking is briefly reviewed in Sec. 2. Then, Sec. 3 shows the proposed LS/NLS color image coding algorithm. Applications of this proposed method and numerical evaluation with the LS/NLS mode of JPEG-LS are shown in Sec. 4. Finally, this paper is concluded in Sec. 5.

Notations: Matrices are indicated in upper-case bold face letters, while vectors are indicated in lower-case ones. R^N and R^{M×N} denotes the sets of M-dimensional real-valued vectors and M × N real-valued matrices, respectively. Each entry of X ∈ R^{M×N} is indicated by X(m,n). Especially, for images, X ∈ R^{M×N} denotes the M [rows] × N [column] image.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Demosaicing

As shown in Fig. 1, according to its pixel positions of the CFA I(m,n) (I ∈ R^{M×N}), we denote four samples in the (2×2)-block as R_{m,n}^{(1)}, R_{m,n}^{(2)}, R_{m,n}^{(3)} and R_{m,n}^{(4)} for the red channel, and the same notation is used for the G and B channels. The four pixels of G channel, for example, G_{m,n}^{(1)}m,n = I(m,n) + 1\), G_{m,n}^{(3)}\), G_{m,n}^{(3)} = I(m + 1, n), G_{m,n}^{(4)}\) are defined as

\[
G_{m,n}^{(1)} = I(m,n), \quad G_{m,n}^{(2)} = I(m,n + 1),
\]
\[
G_{m,n}^{(3)} = I(m + 1,n), \quad G_{m,n}^{(4)} = I(m + 1, n + 1),
\]

(1)

Then, missing samples G_{m,n}^{(2)} and G_{m,n}^{(3)} are interpolated as

\[
G_{\cdot,n}^{(2)} = T_{G(2)}(G_{\cdot,n}^{(1)}, G_{\cdot,n}^{(4)}), \quad G_{\cdot,n}^{(3)} = T_{G(3)}(G_{\cdot,n}^{(1)}, G_{\cdot,n}^{(4)}),
\]

(2)

where T_{G(2)} and T_{G(3)} are some demosaicing operators. For instance, considering T_{G(2)}, T_{G(3)} as bilinear interpolation operators, an instance of T_{G(2)} would be

\[
G_{m,n}^{(2)} = T_{G(2)}(G_{m,n}^{(1)}, G_{m,n}^{(4)})
\]
\[
= \beta_{41}G_{m,n}^{(1)} + \beta_{42}G_{m,n+1}^{(1)} + \beta_{43}G_{m,n}^{(4)} + \beta_{44}G_{m,n+1}^{(4)},
\]

(3)

where \beta_{4k} ∈ R (k = 1, . . . , 4) represents weighting factors, and in addition, the estimated values are rounded to integers. T_{G(3)} and those for other color channels can be similarly defined as a weighted.
Fig. 1. R, G and B channels obtained from the original CFA \( I \). 

Combination. Adaptive interpolation algorithms (e.g. [6]) can be used as the demosaicing operators as well.

Here, the demosaicing operators are represented as some matrix form. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \) be the interpolated G channel in (3) can be expressed in a matrix form as \( g = A_Gx \), where the demosaicing matrix \( A_G \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times MN} \) consists of the weighting factors. In a similar fashion, \( r, b \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times MN} \) can be expressed by using the demosaicing matrices \( A_R, A_B \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times MN} \) as \( r = A_Rx \) and \( b = A_Bx \).

3. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

An overview of the proposed NLS and LS coding algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 2(a)–(d). As explained in the previous section, it is assumed that demosaicing algorithm is known at both the encoder and the decoder.

3.1. Overview

Now, we first present the encoder description. In the case of NLS transmission (shown in Fig. 2(a)), first, a given color image \( r, g, b \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \) is converted to the gray image \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \). After the conversion, the gray image is encoded by JPEG-LS and transmitted. In parallel, three coefficients for least mean square offsets \( \delta_R, \delta_G, \delta_B \) are computed from the original color image and transmitted to the decoder. In the LS transmission (see in Fig. 2(c)), additional residual signals \( e_r, e_g, e_b \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \) are transmitted, which is simply the difference of original and near-losslessly reconstructed color image.

The block diagram of the decoder description is shown in Fig. 2(b), in which the gray image is decoded, and the inverse demosaicing algorithm presented in Sec.3.2 is applied. After the demosaicing and the offset compensation explained in Sec. 3.3, the near-lossless color image is recovered. In addition, the decoding process in the LS transmission (in Fig. 2(d)) is completed by adding the residual data to near-lossless color image.

For transmission of the mean coefficients \( \delta_R, \delta_G, \delta_B \), the pulse code modulation (PCM) and the pulse code demodulation (PCDM) are used, and arithmetic encoding/decoding is applied to the residual data \( e_r, e_g, e_b \).

3.2. Inverse demosaicing

Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \) be an original CFA (unknown), \( A_R, A_G, A_B \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times MN} \) be some demosaicing matrices and \( r, g, b \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \) be the R, G, and B channel interpolated by demosaicing. A gray image \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times MN} \) to be transmitted is typically yielded by the weighted sum of \( r, g, b \) as

\[
y = prr + pgg + pbb = A_Yx,
\]

where \( A_Y = prA_R + pgA_G + pbA_B \). The coefficients of the color-to-gray conversion. From the losslessly coded gray image \( y \) at the decoder side, the unknown CFA \( \hat{x} \) can be recovered as

\[
\hat{x} = \arg \min \| y - A_Yx \|_2^2,
\]

where \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) denotes \( L^2 \) norm. The minimizer can be obtained as \( \hat{x} = A_Y^\dagger y \), where \( A_Y^\dagger = (A_Y^T A_Y)^{-1} A_Y^T \) denotes the pseudo inverse of \( A_Y \). Finally, the R, G and B channels are reconstructed as \( \hat{r} = A_R \hat{x}, \hat{g} = A_G \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{b} = A_B \hat{x} \).

3.3. Least mean square offset compensation

Ideally, if the matrix \( A_Y \) is non-singular, the color channels \( \hat{r}, \hat{g} \) and \( \hat{b} \) can be recovered from the transmitted gray image by inverse
### 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this paper, the proposed algorithm is demonstrated for the applications described in the following subsections. The missing color samples are interpolated as shown in Fig. 3. The parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ denote the weighting factors for R, G and B channel, respectively. Moreover, $\alpha_{m,n}$ denotes the $m$-th interpolation weighting factor for R channel samples to be estimated from $m$-neighboring samples. $\beta_{m,n}$ and $\gamma_{m,n}$ are defined similarly. The full color images (8 [bit/sample]) given in Fig. 4 are used in this simulation.

#### 4.1. Experiment : Color image compression

In this section, we show low-bit rate color image transmission as an application of the proposed method. The weights of the demosaicing matrix are restricted to integer values described as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
&\alpha_{11} = \gamma_{11} = 4, \quad \alpha_{21} = \alpha_{22} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma_{22} = 2 \\
&\alpha_{41} = \alpha_{42} = \alpha_{43} = \alpha_{44} = \gamma_{41} = \gamma_{42} = \gamma_{43} = \gamma_{44} = 1 \\
&\beta_{21} = \beta_{22} = \beta_{31} = \beta_{33} = 1, \beta_{32} = 2 \\
&\beta_{41} = \beta_{42} = \beta_{43} = \beta_{44} = 1
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the weighting coefficients of the color-to-gray conversion in (4) are defined as $p_R = p_G = p_B = 1$. Therefore, the resulting transformation matrix $A_Y$ is also an integer matrix. It can be verified that if $A_Y \in \mathbb{Z}^{MN \times MN}$, its rank equals to $MN - 1$ (not full-rank). Based on the matrix configuration, we demonstrate the proposed method as the following steps:

1. **Setting test CFA:** Create a Bayer-pattern CFA $I_a(m,n)$ with 12 [bit/sample] from the test images by downsampling and scaling each of R, G and B channels.

2. **Setting test color image:** The CFA is interpolated by the demosaicing matrix defined as (7). The resulting color image (14 [bit/sample]) is set as the original color image $I_r(m,n)$. The data is stored in the suitable format, such as 16bit PPM format.

3. **Procedure in the proposed encoder:** Assume that the original test CFA is unknown and the test color image is given. The gray image (16 [bit/sample]) is obtained by summing R, G and B channels. Then, it is compressed by the LS mode of JPEG-LS and transmitted with the mean coefficients for R, G and B rounded by 16 bits and coded by the PCM. When the LS transmission, we send a residual data $E(m,n)$ between $I_r(m,n)$ and locally decoded color image $\hat{I}_r(m,n)$ (which is denoted in the next step).

4. **Procedure in the proposed decoder:** At the decoder, the CFA $I_a(m,n)$ is recovered by the inverse demosaicking algorithm, and after the offset compensation by the transmitted mean coefficients decoded by the PCDM, the color image $\hat{I}_r(m,n)$ is reconstructed. In addition, the original color image $I_r(m,n)$ is losslessly obtained by summing the color image $\hat{I}_r(m,n)$ to the residual data $E(m,n)$ which was reconstructed by arithmetic decoding.

As a comparison, we perform the LS/NLS mode of JPEG-LS to $I_r(m,n)$ directly. The results are summarized in Table 1, where
In this paper, we introduced a novel framework for LS/NLS color image (and CFA) coding by inverse demosaicing. The proposed approach is different from conventional methods in the following two aspects: 1) representing demosaicing (and color-to-gray conversion) procedure as a matrix form and 2) multiplying its inverse demosaicing matrix to a transmitted LS gray image and performing the offset compensation. In the simulation, we demonstrated two applications for evaluation. In the color image transmission, the proposed algorithm can achieve LS/NLS image coding with quite limited bit rates compared with JPEG-LS which sends all color information. Moreover, the original color information cannot be obtained without using the proposed decoder because a gray image is only transmitted. In NLS transmission, PSNR of the proposed method is higher than the conventional ones. The proposed algorithm can also apply to CFA LS/NLS transmission while achieving a comparable compression ratio to conventional method. As well as color image transmission, the original CFA information cannot be recovered without using the proposed decoder.
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