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ABSTRACT

Topological asymptotic analysis provides tools to detect edges and their orientation. The purpose of this article is to show the possibilities of anisotropic topological gradient in image restoration. Previous methods based on the topological gradient used isotropic diffusion to restore images. These methods are improved here by using anisotropic diffusion and differentiating between principal and secondary edges. A texture detector is also used to increase the diffusion outside textured regions. Numerical results are presented, including a comparison with the Non-Local Means method. The algorithms presented here lead to results similar to the Non-Local Means (in terms of quality), and shorter processing times.

Index Terms— Edge detection, topological gradient, image restoration, anisotropic diffusion.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical way to restore an image \( u \) from its noisy version \( v \) defined in a domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is to solve the following PDE problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\text{div}(c \nabla u) + u &= v \text{ in } \Omega, \\
\partial_n u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( c \) is a positive constant or a tensor [1] and \( \partial_n \) denotes the normal derivative to \( \partial \Omega \).

Topological optimization provides tools to detect cracks [2]. These tools were adapted to detect edges [3]. An image can be viewed as a piecewise smooth function and edges can be considered as its set of singularities. A major advantage of topological gradient is the computing time. Only \( O(N \log(N)) \) operations are needed to solve the image processing problem, where \( N \) is the number of pixels.

The topological gradient can also be used in image edge analysis, image classification, inpainting problems and in segmentation [3, 4, 5]. This technique can be applied to gray level and color images, but also to three-dimensional images, or movies.

In the work [3], \( c \) is an isotropic tensor taking only two values, \( c_0 \) in the smooth part of the image and a small value \( \varepsilon \) on edges. The objective of the present work is to take advantage of additional informations provided by topological asymptotic analysis: the edge orientation and jump amplitude. This allows to define the tensor \( c \) in a way more adapted to the image content. An anisotropic restoration algorithm is presented. This simple algorithm is compared to the previous isotropic restoration algorithm and to the Non-Local Means method.

In section 2, we recall the topological gradient method for image restoration. Our restoration algorithms are presented in section 3. In section 4, we present numerical results in image restoration and some comparisons.

2. TOPOLOGICAL GRADIENT FORMULATION

Let \( \Omega \) be an open bounded domain of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( v \) a given function in \( L^2(\Omega) \). The image restoration problem is defined on the domain and reads as follows: find \( u \in H^1(\Omega) \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
-\text{div}(c \nabla u) + u = v \text{ in } \Omega, \\
\partial_n u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

![Fig. 1. Cracked domain.](image)

At a given point \( x_0 \in \Omega \), we insert a small insulating crack \( \sigma_\rho = x_0 + \rho \sigma(n) \) where \( \sigma(n) \) is a straight crack and \( n \) is a unit vector normal to the crack. The perturbed domain created by the insertion of this crack is \( \Omega_\rho = \Omega \setminus \sigma_\rho \). The perturbed solution \( u_\rho \in H^1(\Omega_\rho) \) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
-\text{div}(c \nabla u_\rho) + u_\rho = v \text{ in } \Omega_\rho, \\
\partial_n u_\rho = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \\
\partial_n u_\rho = 0 \text{ on } \sigma_\rho.
\end{cases}
\]
Our edge detection method consists in looking for a crack \( \sigma \) such that the energy \( j(\rho) = J_p(u_\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_0} \| \nabla u_\rho \|^2 \) is small. In [2], it is proved that the cost function \( j \) has the following asymptotic expansion
\[
j(\rho) - j(0) = \rho^2 g(x_0, n) + o(\rho^2),
\]
where the topological gradient \( g \) is given by
\[
g(x_0, n) = -\pi(\nabla u(x_0).n)(\nabla p(x_0).n) - \pi|\nabla u(x_0).n|^2,
\]
and \( p_0 \) is the solution to the adjoint problem:
\[
\begin{align*}
-d\text{div}(c\nabla p_0) + p_0 &= -\partial_n J_0(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_n p_0 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 3 shows an example of primary and secondary edges.

3.2. Anisotropic topological gradient

It is well known that the diffusion equation (1) can lead to better restoration results if \( c \) is defined as an anisotropic tensor: it should be elongated in the edges direction. A diffusion coefficient \( c_1 << 1 \) is used in the direction given by the eigenvector associated to \( \lambda_{\text{min}} \).

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Initialization: } c &= c_0, \\
\text{Computation of } u_0 \text{ and } p_0, \text{ solutions of direct (2) and adjoint (6) problems.} \\
\text{Computation of the } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrix } M(x) \text{ and its eigenvalues at each point of the domain.} \\
\text{Set } c(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{c_0}{10} & \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \lambda_{\text{min}}(x) < \delta \\
c_0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \\
\text{Computation of } u \text{ solution to problem (2).}
\end{align*}\]

3.3. Secondary edges and smoothing

Texture may contain edges with smaller amplitude. We call them secondary edges. To take these into account, we use a second threshold \( \delta' > \delta \). In the definition of \( \phi \) (equation (8)), we add the condition:
\[
\phi(x) = c_2(\lambda_{\text{min}}(x)) \text{ if } \delta < \lambda_{\text{min}}(x) < \delta' \text{ and } x \text{ is in a valley.}
\]

Figure 3 shows an example of primary and secondary edges.
Finally, texture is detected on $u_0$ with a SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) detector [6]. Isotropic smoothing is applied by diffusion with a high coefficient $c'_0 > c_0$, on pixels that are not considered as texture.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Notations: NLM stands for the Non-Local Means, ITG for the isotropic topological gradient, ATG for the anisotropic topological gradient. ITGS and ATGS are the same as ITG and ATG except that they take into account secondary edges. ITGS+ and ATGS+ make use of the texture detector.

We compare our methods with the Non-Local Means [7]. For the comparative work, we use the toolbox provided by [8]. Our algorithms were coded in Matlab.

We compare quantitatively the different restorations on gray level images using PSNR (Peak Signal to Noise Ratio) expressed in dB and the SSIM (Structural SIMilarity) [9]. We give the CPU time in seconds for each algorithm.

Table 1 presents the results on two images: boat ($512 \times 512$) and Barbara ($512 \times 512$) see Figure 4. The noise used for the boat image is a Gaussian additive noise. The noise used for Barbara image is an additive noise following a uniform distribution.

Figure 5 (c) and (d) compare the results of ITG and ATGS+ restoration. The restoration of contours is more accurate using anisotropic restoration, although the quantitative estimators do not reflect this improvement (see Table 1).

Figure 6 (c) and (d) compare the results of ITG and ATGS+ restoration. The aim is to show that the texture is better reconstructed in the new restoration. Adding anisotropy and secondary edges clearly improves the results on Barbara image (see Table 1).

A comparative study with the NLM was performed. With a Gaussian noise, we obtained similar results for images which are not composed of self similar textures. On Barbara image corrupted with Gaussian additive noise, the results provided by the NLM outperform our diffusion method.
This is sensible since in the NLM algorithm, the denoised value at a pixel $x$, is a mean of the values of all pixels whose Gaussian neighborhood looks like the neighborhood of $x$. So the efficiency on a self similar image was predictable.

Figure 7 shows the noisy Mandrill ($512 \times 512 \times 3$) color image. The three channels (RGB) were treated separately. The NLM and ATGS+ restoration provide similar results in terms of quality (see Table 2 and Figure 8 (c) and (d)). ATGS+ is faster (see Table 2).

### 5. CONCLUSION

An algorithm was presented to take into account the orientation of the edges detected by topological asymptotic analysis. The diffusion tensor used to restore an image is anisotropic on the edges, with a small diffusion coefficient on primary edges and a larger one on the secondary edges. This algorithm was compared to the Non-Local Means method. Similar performances were obtained for smaller computing times.
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