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ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the problem of tracking the covariance matrix eigenstructure, based on SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) updating, of a time-varying data matrix formed from received vectors. This problem occurs frequently in signal processing applications such as adaptive beamforming, direction finding, spectral estimation, etc. As this problem needs to be solved in real time, it is natural to look for a parallel algorithm so that computation time can be reduced by distributing the work among a number of processing units. This paper proposes a parallel scheme for SVD updating that can be implemented on a fixed sized array of off-the-shelf processors, to get speedups close to the number of processors used.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many signal processing algorithms are based on the computation of the eigenstructure (eigenvalues and eigenvectors) of the covariance matrix of a data matrix. Applications include: direction of arrival estimation in array processing, spectral estimation [1] and CDMA synchronization [2]. The advantages of using the eigenvector-based methods (also called subspace based methods) are well-known.

In the usual way of using such algorithms, the covariance matrix is first estimated from the received data. Then, by using some numerical method, the Eigen Value Decomposition (EVD) is computed before applying a subspace based algorithm, such as, MUSIC [3]. Before applying the subspace based algorithm, the EVD is used to separate the signal subspace from the noise subspace. The covariance matrix, \( \mathbf{R}_n \), is estimated as:

\[
\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{Y}_n' \mathbf{Y}_n, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mathbf{Y}_n \) is the data matrix at time \( n \) and ' is the conjugate transpose operation. The data matrix is constructed from the received data vectors:

\[
\mathbf{Y}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{n-1} y_0' \\ \vdots \\ \beta y_{n-1}' \\ y_n' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{y}_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( y_n \) is the data vector at time \( n \) and \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \) is a "forgetting factor". In a non-stationary environment the received data vectors are expected to vary with time. This time varying nature of the data is taken into account by using the forgetting factor, \( \beta \) - thus, previously received data vectors are weighted less than the current data vector.

A practical approach to computing the EVD of the covariance matrix is to use the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the data matrix \( \mathbf{Y}_n \). The SVD of \( \mathbf{Y}_n \) is defined as

\[
\mathbf{Y}_n = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}', \tag{3}
\]

where, \( \mathbf{U} \) and \( \mathbf{V} \) are unitary matrices and \( \Sigma \) is a diagonal matrix of singular values. From this it follows that

\[
\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{Y}_n' \mathbf{Y}_n = \mathbf{V} \Sigma \mathbf{U}' \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^2 \mathbf{V}', \tag{4}
\]

which is the eigenvalue decomposition of the covariance matrix. Hence, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be computed directly from the SVD of the data matrix instead of computing them from an intermediary covariance matrix. For a given processor wordlength, this alternate formulation would result in superior numerical performance.

In a non-stationary environment, where the received data varies with time, the SVD of the data matrix needs to be updated to incorporate the change. The updating of the SVD is used to continuously track the time-varying signal or noise subspace (subspace tracking). Thus, our problem is to calculate the SVD of the data matrix \( \mathbf{Y}_n \) at each time step \( n \), using the SVD of the data matrix \( \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \) calculated at time step \( n - 1 \).

As the problem needs to be solved in real time, it is natural to look for a parallel algorithm so that computation time can be reduced by distributing the work among a number of processing units. This paper proposes a parallel scheme for SVD updating that can be implemented on a fixed sized array of off-the-shelf processors.

2. ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

Our algorithm is based on Hestenes method [4] for computing the SVD. In the Hestenes method, starting with any given matrix \( \mathbf{A} \), an orthogonal matrix \( \mathbf{V} \) is built such that \( \mathbf{AV} \) has orthogonal columns. Thus, \( \mathbf{AV} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \), where \( \mathbf{U} \) has orthonormal columns and \( \Sigma \) is non-negative and diagonal. The SVD of \( \mathbf{A} \) is \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}' \). To construct \( \mathbf{V} \), we take \( \mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{A} \) and iterate:

\[
\mathbf{A}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{A}^{(i)} \mathbf{Q}^{(i)}, \tag{5}
\]

(where \( i \) represents a sweep and \( \mathbf{Q}^{(i)} \) is orthogonal), until some \( \mathbf{A}^{(i)} \) has orthogonal columns. \( \mathbf{Q}^{(i)} \) is chosen to be a...
product of $m(m - 1)/2$ Jacobi rotations:

$$Q^{(i)} = \prod_{j=1}^{m(m-1)/2} Q_j^{(i)},$$

where $m$ is the number of columns of matrix $A$. Every possible pair $(r, s)$, $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, is associated with one of the rotations $Q_j^{(i)}$. All the transformations applied to $A$ in this process, are simultaneously applied to an identity matrix to get the $V$ matrix.

Let us denote $U\Sigma$ by the single matrix $W$. Thus given matrix $A$, Hestenes method yields matrices $W$ and $V$ such that

$$A = WV'. $$

$U$ and $\Sigma$ can be obtained from $W$ as follows - the singular values are equal to the norms of the columns of matrix $W$ and, $U$ can be obtained by normalizing the columns of $W$. However, since most subspace-based applications require $V$ only, this step is not necessary.

As new rows are appended to the matrix $Y_n$, its size as well as that of $W_n$ increases with time. It may be noted, in equation 2, less weightage is given to "older" data vectors as compared to recently received data vectors by multiplying with higher powers of $\beta$. We take this a step further and consider only the last $L$ data vectors. This will keep the size of $Y_n$ and $W_n$ fixed. The size of the parameters $L$ and $\beta$ will depend on the application. So, we define $Y_n$ as:

$$Y_n = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta^{n-L} y_{n-L+1} \\
\vdots \\
\beta y_{n-1} \\
y_n
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us assume that, at time step $n-1$, we have calculated the SVD of $Y_{n-1}$ using Hestenes method:

$$Y_{n-1} = W_{n-1} V_{n-1},$$

where $W_{n-1} = U_{n-1} \Sigma_{n-1}$. The computation in time step $n$ is based on the following equations:

$$Y_n = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta Y_{n-1} \\
y_n
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Using equation 9 with 10, yields:

$$Y_n = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta W_{n-1} \\
y_n V_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix} V_{n-1}. $$

Let us denote the first matrix on the right hand side of equation 11 by $X_n$. The columns of $X_n$ are orthogonalized using $r$ sweeps of Hestenes method [4]. So we get the desired update of the SVD as:

$$Y_n = W_n V_n V_{n-1} = W_n V_n',$$

where $V_n = V_{n-1} V_n$.

Simulations of application of this method to the frequency estimation problem (refer Section 4) show that a "good" enough approximation is obtained after just one sweep of Hestenes method, for each update. Here a sweep is defined as the process of orthogonalizing each pair of columns, once, in any order. If only one sweep is performed, this algorithm requires $O(m^2)$ computation. In the next section, we will first discuss a previously proposed alternative scheme for parallel SVD updating. In Section 4 we will compare the performance of both schemes for a frequency estimation problem and show that they perform similarly, given the same value of $\beta$. Finally, in Section 5, we will discuss the parallelization scheme for our algorithm and the advantages to be gained from it.

### 3. RELATED WORK

To date, the most powerful parallel scheme for SVD updating is the systolic array proposed by Moonen, Van Dooren and Vandewalle [5]. This scheme is based on an SVD updating algorithm proposed in [6] and [7]. This SVD algorithm is constructed by combining QR updating with a Jacobi type SVD diagonalization procedure (Kogbetliantz’s algorithm, modified for triangular matrices). As the Hestenes method for SVD is a modified version of Jacobi’s method for SVD, we can expect that both algorithms will perform very similarly, when tracking a subspace. This statement is substantiated by our simulation results.

The data dependence structure of Moonen et al.’s two dimensional systolic algorithm is such that at each update, the computation using each column depends on the results of the computation using all the columns to its left. Also, computation using each row depends on the results of the computation using all rows above it. Thus, the data cannot be easily partitioned onto a fixed number of processors. A fine grained parallelization scheme is required [5], which will depend on pipelining of the problem on a large number of custom-built processing units. The number of processing units required is proportional to the size of the problem (defined by $m/2$).

However, in our algorithm, at each update, the computation using each pair of columns is independent of the computation using all other columns. Hence, it can be easily partitioned onto a linear array of processors of size less than (or equal to) the size of the problem, to get speedups close to the number of processors used. This issue is discussed further in Sections 5 and 6.

### 4. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to show the tracking capabilities of our algorithm, we will use the examples used by Ferrazli and Proakis in [7]. In this example, a covariance eigenstructure based algorithm is used in a spectral estimation application, where the problem is to track the variations in the instantaneous frequencies of a signal $s(n)$ composed of multiple, superimposed, time varying sinusoidal components. The received signal $r(n)$ is the sum of the $k$ sinusoids contaminated with an additive zero mean Gaussian white noise $W(n)$.

$$r(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_i \sin(\omega_i n) + W(n).$$

The data matrix $Y_n$ is constructed from $r(n)$. The eigenvector based estimation algorithm used was MUSIC [3].
Figure 1. Application of SVD updating to the frequency estimation problem. SNR = 10dB, m = 7 (a) Tracking an abruptly changing subspace, \( \beta = 0.9 \) (b) Tracking a slowly changing subspace, \( \beta = 0.9935 \). In both plots, the dotted line is the actual frequency. The solid line and the dashed line are the estimated frequency using the proposed algorithm and Moonen et al's algorithm respectively.

In the first experiment, we track an abruptly changing subspace. A sudden jump in frequency is introduced. This changes the subspace suddenly. The first 250 data vectors were generated from

\[
r_1(n) = 2\cos(2\pi \times .35t) + W(n). \tag{14}
\]

Another 250 data vectors were formed from

\[
r_2(n) = 2\cos(2\pi \times .45t) + W(n). \tag{15}
\]

where the frequency of the only sinusoid has jumped from .35 to .45 Hz. Data vectors of size \( m \) were constructed. Figure 1.a shows that our proposed scheme and Moonen et al’s method perform very similarly with only 1 sweep of their respective SVD algorithms for the same values of \( \beta \).

In the next example, we track a slowly but continuously changing frequency. The data vectors were generated from the received signal

\[
r_3(n) = 2\cos(2\pi \times .10n) + 2\cos(2\pi \times (.25 + (inc \times n)))n + 2\cos(2\pi \times .45n) + W(n). \tag{16}
\]

The gradual change in the second frequency is defined by \( inc \) and the value of \( inc \) used in our experiments was 0.1/200, that is, \( inc \) changes by 0.1 over 200 time steps. Again, both schemes perform very similarly and successfully track all 3 frequencies (Figure 1.b).

5. PARALLELIZATION SCHEME

Our parallelization scheme for the SVD updating problem is based on the solution of singular value problems on an undersized linear array proposed by Schreiber [8], using the Hestenes method [4] for the SVD.

The linear array of \( P \) processors (with \( P \leq m/2 \)) used for our scheme is shown in Figure 2. Let us recall, from Section 2, that at the beginning of each time step, \( n \), we have the matrices \( W_{n-1} \) and \( V_{n-1} \) from the SVD update of the previous step. The number of columns of each of these matrices is equal to the size of the data vectors, \( m \). Figure 2 shows the initial distribution, (at the beginning of each time step) of the columns of both the matrices on the \( P \) processors. The data memory of each processor is conceptually divided into 2 parts - LEFT and RIGHT memory. Initially, processor \( i \) holds columns \( (i-1)\times \frac{m}{2} + 1 \) to \( i\times \frac{m}{2} \) of \( W_{n-1} \) and \( V_{n-1} \) in its data memory. The columns are distributed equally between its LEFT and RIGHT memory as shown in Figure 2. This implies LEFT and RIGHT memory holds \( m/2P \) columns each.

At each time step, the data vector \( y_n \) is broadcast to all the processors by a host processor. The broadcasting of \( y_n \) can be done elegantly by pipelining the broadcasting of \( y_{n+1} \) with the computation of time step \( n \), if \( y_{n+1} \) is already available. Once, \( y_n \) is available to all the processors, each processor multiplies its columns of \( W_{n-1} \) with \( \beta \) and the columns of \( V_{n-1} \) by \( y_n \) (refer equation 11). Thus we form the first matrix in the right hand side of equation 11, that is, matrix \( X_n \). We then orthogonalize the columns of \( X_n \), as described below.

The array performs one sweep of Hestenes SVD algorithm, according to equations 5-6. In each sweep, first, each processor orthogonalizes all possible pairs of columns in its LEFT and RIGHT memory. These pairs are formed by taking both columns either from LEFT or RIGHT memory.
Figure 2. The linear array of processors used for the SVD updating, \( P = 4, m = 16 \). The arrows indicate connections between processors.

but not one from each. The rest of the sweep is performed in \((2P - 1)\) cycles.

In each cycle, each processor orthogonizes all possible pairs of columns formed by taking one column from its LEFT memory and one from its RIGHT memory. Then, the processors exchange the contents of their LEFT and RIGHT memories along the arrows shown in Figure 2. The connections between the processors are such that in each sweep (that is, in \((2P - 1)\) cycles) all possible pairs of columns of \( X_n \) are orthogonized exactly once [8]. The transformations applied to \( X_n \) are also applied to \( V_{n-1} \) to get \( V_n \).

Let the time required to apply one single rotation (\( Q_0 \)) in equation 6 be \( t_r \). Also let \( t_c \) be the time required to transfer \( m/P \) columns from one processor to another. Then we can calculate the speedup as:

\[
\text{Speedup} = \frac{\text{Sequential execution time}}{\text{Parallel execution time}} = \frac{(m(m-1)/2)t_c}{(m(m-1)/2P)t_r + (2P - 1)t_c} = O(P) \tag{17}
\]

Thus this parallelization scheme makes the SVD update \( O(m^2/P) \), (if \( P = m \), it is \( O(m) \)). That is, the speedup obtained scales with the number of processors. However, the difference between the speedup obtained and the number of processors \( P \), depends on the second term in the denominator of equation 17, that is the communication time between processors.

6. ADVANTAGES OF USING THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM AND ITS PARALLELIZATION SCHEME

- The proposed algorithm and parallelization scheme can be implemented on a fixed sized array of off-the-shelf DSPs (Digital Signal Processors) thus avoiding the high design cost and as well as flexibility due to custom processors. Our scheme uses coarse grained parallelism, that is, communication between processors is interleaved with computation involving \( m \) columns of matrix \( W \), instead of only a few elements. Thus the array does not spend a large amount of time loading and unloading data. Hence, it is very suitable for implementation on an array of typical DSPs like the Texas Instruments TMS320C40, which has single-cycle functional units, but, several cycles are required to transfer data across the communication ports.

- A software solution on off-the-shelf DSPs would be more flexible with regards to changing parameters like \( \beta, m \) and the size of the signal subspace according to the time-variance of the data vectors. Also, the subspace-based algorithm which uses the results of the SVD can be implemented on the same DSPs. This would reduce the physical size of the hardware and would be of great advantage in applications like subspace-based CDMA synchronization [9], where this hardware would be a part of a base station.

- The parallelization scheme can be implemented on a scalable number of processors. Even if \( P \) is much less than the problem size, (that is \( m/2 \)), this scheme will yield speedups close to \( P \) and processor utilization close to 100%. Hence, with a processor array of given fixed size, we can update the SVD of arbitrarily large matrices.
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