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ABSTRACT
This paper proposes a new scheme to reduce coding bit rate in array based multichannel audio applications like the acoustic opening, which can be used for modern teleconference systems. The combination of beamforming techniques for source separation and wave field synthesis allows a significant coding bit rate reduction. To evaluate the quality of this new scheme, both objective and subjective tests have been carried out. The objective measurement system is based on the Perceptual Audio Quality Measure of the binaural signal that the listener would perceive in a real environment.

1. INTRODUCTION
Over the last years there has been a significant development of multichannel audio. These technologies are evolving towards systems capable of recreating true 3D audio fields in a listening area as wide as possible. This evolution implies rising the number of loudspeakers used for sound reproduction. Today it is possible to find commercial products that use 5.1 channels but new systems will use many more channels to increase the perceived spatial sensations. If a high number of channels are involved in an audio system, this means that a large amount of audio material needs to be transmitted or recorded. This justifies recent attention on multichannel audio coding systems, that try to reduce the overall bit rate without penalizing quality.

One of the most promising multichannel audio systems is Wave Field Synthesis (WFS). Wave Field Synthesis technique reproduces an acoustic field inside a volume from the signals recorded or computed on a given surface. It is based on the Huygens principle. According to this principle, the propagation of a wave through a medium can be qualitatively described by adding the contributions of all secondary sources positioned along a wave front [1]. This means that if we know the wave field on the boundary surface $S$ of a closed, source-free volume $V$ it is possible to know the sound pressure in any point within that volume. From a practical point of view, this means that if we cover a plane with an array of omni directional loudspeakers, being driven with signals corresponding to the normal velocity distribution in that plane generated by virtual source, a spatially correct wave field of a point positioned behind the array is synthesized.

The application of microphone and loudspeaker array systems to enhance perceived sensations is under study. Using a high number of microphones (more than 20) in a linear array makes possible to sample the entire acoustic field. This field can be recreated in another location by means of Wavefield synthesis [2] using a loudspeaker array.

We will focus on a audio communication system known as acoustic opening [3]. Arrays of transducers are used to produce the illusion that there is a mechanical opening between two remote rooms. A simplified system using an array of microphones and array of loudspeakers can be seen in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The acoustic wave field is recorded or sampled using the microphone array, coded, transmitted and reproduced through an array of loudspeakers. This configuration may be used to develop hands-free acoustical human/machine interfaces (teleconference systems). As can be seen in [3], if the number of transducers is sufficiently high the illusion would be perfect. Unfortunately, working with so many channels means that there is an enormous amount of information to deal with.

![Figure 1: Dry sources sampled by an array of microphones](image-url)

2. CODING APPROACHES
The two most used multi-channel codification systems are Dolby AC-3 and MPEG Advanced Audio Coding (AAC). AC-3 is the audio standard chosen for high-resolution television (HDTV), and it is able to compress 5.1 audio signals using 384 kbits/s. AAC is at the moment the most powerful multi-channel codification system within the family of MPEG coders. It is able to compress 5.1 audio signal using 320 kbits/sec without apparent loss of quality. Both schemes use perceptual models to hide coding distortions. Although they are very powerful systems that support a high
number of channels, they are optimized to encode 5.1 recordings. Thus, the multichannel strategies employed (Mid/Sum Coding and Intensity Coding) try to exploit the correlation between symmetric channel pairs (e.g. L-R and Ls-Rs), but are unable to eliminate the existing correlation among the rest of the channels.

Despite of this reduction, the number of channels to be transmitted still equals the number of microphones in the array. In order to reduce the number of channels to be transmitted, array processing methods are explored in the following.

### 3. SOURCE SEPARATION APPROACH

To develop a wave field synthesis approach we have two possibilities: in the first one, all microphone signals are transmitted to the same number of loudspeakers at the receiving end. This system receives the name of ‘hard-wired wave field transmission system’. In this approach the compression gain comes from exploiting the correlation between channels (as seen in previous Sections). However there is another possibility where the signals that feed the secondary sources (the loudspeakers at the receiving room) are extrapolated of a enough dense set of measured impulse responses. This new approach has a tremendous impact from a codification point of view. Now, it is possible to send only the dry sources and the impulse responses of the room and recreate the wave field at reception. This leads us to the problem of obtaining the dry sources given that we only know the signals that the microphone array captured. Basically, this is a source separation problem. In Figure 4 we can see the full scheme where a WFS system synthesizes the wave field produced by primary sources in the simulated room.

From a mathematical point of view, the problem to solve can be resumed in expressions (1), (2) and (3). There are \( P \) statistically independent wideband sound sources \( (S_1...S_P) \) in a \( M \)-microphone room \( (P\leq M) \). Each microphone signal is produced as a sum of convolutions between sources and \( H_{ij} \), which represents a matrix of \( z \)-transfer functions between \( P \) sources and \( M \) microphones. This transfer function set contains information about the room impulse response and the microphone response. The number of sources \( (M) \) is always lower than the number of microphones \( (P) \).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1(z) \\
X_2(z) \\
\vdots \\
X_M(z)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
H_{11}(z) & \cdots & H_{1P}(z) \\
H_{21}(z) & \cdots & H_{2P}(z) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
H_{M1}(z) & \cdots & H_{MP}(z)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_1(z) \\
S_2(z) \\
\vdots \\
S_P(z)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[X = HS\]  

\[\Gamma = JHS\]  

We make the assumption that source signals \( S \) are statistically independent processes, (which is a sufficient condition for source separation) so the minimum generating signals \( \Gamma \) will be the same as the number of sources \( P \). We need \( \Gamma \) to be as similar as possible to \( S \) (original dry signals). Ideally \( J \) would be the pseudoinverse of \( H \), however we may not know the exact parameterization of \( H \). In the real world spatial separation of sources from an output of a sensor array is achieved using beamforming techniques. Thus, we let

\[
\Gamma = JHS
\]
4. BEAMFORMING: GENERALIZED SIDELOBE CANCELLER

For acoustic openings, microphone arrays together with robust adaptive beamforming techniques allow the extraction of desired signals from many kind of interferers (background noise, reverberation, or competing talkers). One of the most used beamforming algorithms is the Generalized Sideobe C canceller [6] that can obtain a high interference reduction performance with a small number of microphones arranged in a small space.

One of the biggest concerns in using GSC is that we need to know the direction of arrival (DOA) of the primary source. That means we need to know quite accurately the position of the speakers in the room. This can be achieved using DOA-determination algorithms, like the MUSIC algorithm [7]. The MUSIC algorithm was developed by Schmidt to determine direction-of-arrival angles for multiple sources and although it offers good results if the primary sources are narrow band signals, with broadband signals (like voice) the results are not so good [8]. The resolution of this problem is beyond the objectives of this article, for our work we suppose the DOA is known.

We can see the general layout of the GSC in Figure 5. First of all, the microphone signals are time delayed steered \((t_1, \ldots, t_T)\) to produce signals which ideally have the desired signal in phase with each other. If we add all these signals \((a(n))\) we have a classical delay and sum beamformer. Usage of a simple delay-and-sum beamformer leads to target signal cancellation at high frequencies. In the second scenario we apply the beamforming algorithm to recover both primary sources, are placed on both sides of the room. These two signals are convolved with the impulse response recordings of the anechoic chamber in Bell Labs [11], corresponding to different audio source locations in the chamber and a 22-microphone linear array. This arrangement is perfect for studying the “virtual acoustic opening”. We have also considered free field propagation conditions (no chamber) to compare the effects of reverberation. Two different speakers (male and female), which act as primary sources, are placed on both sides of the room. These two signals are convolved with the impulse response of the chamber corresponding to those concrete locations to obtain the 22 microphone signals. In the case of employing free field conditions we only delay and scale properly the signals.

We have considered two different scenarios. In the first one we suppose that we are using a hard-wired WFS system. That means that we ‘send’ the full 22 channels and the sound field is reconstructed using directly the transmitted signals. In the second scenario we apply the beamforming algorithm to recover both original dry signals. In this occasion we suppose that we are only ‘sending’ these two signals. At reception we rebuild the acoustic field using WFS techniques. The results are based in the comparison of these two scenarios.

For this comparison we have used objective and subjective tests. One of the tools to be used is based on the ITU standard Perceptual Audio Quality: PEAQ [12] which is widely accepted for codec comparison. We have specifically used an implementation of the basic version of the recommendation ITU-R BS. 1387-1 [13]. This module measures the perceptual difference between the original and processed signal by means of the so called Objective Difference Grade (ODG). The output of the module is a figure between 0 and -4 where 0 means “no perceptible degradation” and -4 means “very annoying degradation”.

PEAQ was developed for evaluating the quality of mono of stereo signals, not for multichannel audio. The proposed solution to this problem is to synthesise the binaural signal which is computed with the following guidelines.

- In the first scenario, we obtain the loudspeaker driving signals supposing that we are using a hard-wired WFS systems as if we had sent the 22 channels.
- In the second one we obtain the pseudo-dry sources using the beamforming algorithm and reconstruct the loudspeaker driving signals using WFS.
- Loudspeakers are considered ideal; in a real non simulated environment. Equalization should be implemented.
- Free field propagation from the loudspeakers to the listener is assumed.
- To obtain a true binaural signal, the effect of external ear, head, shoulders, etc. is taken into account by using HRIRs (Head Related Impulse Responses). The signal coming from each loudspeaker is filtered with the HRIR that corresponds to that direction of arrival. The particular impulse response set is the one measured with KEMAR (Knowles Electronic Mannequin for Acoustic Research) [14] with diffuse equalization. The listener is positioned in the middle of the reception room. With a true mechanical opening, he would hear the male voice coming from the right and the female voice coming from the left.
Figure 4: *Acoustical opening coding system.*

Figure 5: *Generalized sidelobe canceller.*
On the upper part of the picture we can see the original dry signal (male speech). In the middle, the signal recorded in the central microphone of the array (signal + noise). In the lower part we can see the GSC output (pseudo-dry signal). As we can see the noise reduction is quite effective. Taking a closer look at the first samples, you can notice a progressive noise reduction due to the nLMS convergence time. Playing with the adaptation step makes possible to decrease this convergence time. However, in this case, the final SNR would be higher.

The results presented in this paper are still preliminary but we think that are quite promising. Using beamforming together with Wavefield Synthesis to recreate a mechanical acoustic opening may provide us with a very useful tool to drastically reduce the number of channels to transmit (and consequently the bit rate). There still are problems to solve, like the DOA estimation, the effect of high reverberant rooms and the development of better quality measures, but it seems that the path is correct and that future teleconference systems may benefit from this approach.
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